Enzo Favoino, Scuola Agraria del Parco di Monza, Chair ISWA WG on Biological Treatment







Strategic approaches to reduce impact of organics in landfills

- Landfill diversion targets (Directive 99/31 EC)
 Probably the most important driver for waste management in last decade in the EU (and elsewhere)
- Landfill Bans (e.g. US, Brazil)
 ✓ Garden waste only or organics as a whole



Enzo Favoino, Scuola Agraria del Parco di Monza, Chair ISWA WG on Biological Treatment







Savings due to nutrient replacement					
	Nutrient element	Nutrient content [kg / ton _{biowaste}]	Emissions from mineral fertilizers [kg _{CO2} eq. / kg _{element}]	Avoided CO ₂ emissions [kg _{CO2 eq.} / ton _{biowaste}]	
	N	\$ 4.0	\$5.30	>21.2	
	Р	31.5	70.52	30.78	
	К	3.0	0.38	1.14	
GHG savings due to substitution of mineral fertilizers, per ton of biowaste treated Source: AEA Technology, 2001 Waste Management Options and Climate Change, Report to the European Commission					





Enzo Favoino, Scuola Agraria del Parco di Monza, Chair ISWA WG on Biological Treatment

Υ.			∭ISWA	
In	nportance	of C i	n soils	
	545.000	Gg CO2	Source: "National Communications from Parties included in Annex 1 to the Convention: Greenhouse Gas Inventory Data"	
	148.636.364	ton C		
	16.000.000	hectares	Arable Land Area	
	3600	ton/ha	unit weight of the soil	
	57.600.000.000,00	ton soil		
	0,258%	% of Carbo to balance carbon dio:	n to be locked up in the soil in order the overall national emissions of xide in 1 year	











Enzo Favoino, Scuola Agraria del Parco di Monza, Chair ISWA WG on Biological Treatment

Conclusions on compost, soils and climate change
 Most benefits are dfficult to be quantified – nevertheless, they are important !
 LCAs currently showing limitations
 Discrepancy between accountability and efficacy of actions
 Waste Policies, Climate Change Policy and Inventories of Carbon Should Recognise Role of Soils (and compost)





_		
		VVA
211		
- 1 V	And and the other states of the states of th	A Stable Internet Access

ISWA

GHG-balance for a modelled scenario (100 ktpa MSW; 60% recycling, including AD + composting; 40% incineration)

total	100000	47951	62581	-17640
incineration	40000	16427	18403	-1976
biological treatment	20000	2210	7959	-5749
recycling	40000	28580	36220	-10650
collection	100000	741		741
	Quanti- ties	CO ₂ emitted	CO ₂ saved	CO ₂ net

	iswa
What are the GHG-savings relate	d to?
use of biogas as a fuel (diesel trucks)	2792
displacing mineral fertiliser	723
displacing organic matter: peat (1/3)	2401
displacing organic matter: straw (2/3)	400
	7959

Conclusions

- CO_2 savings by <u>AD</u> are a certain gain
- The savings due to <u>peat substitution</u> by 1/3 of the compost (going to horticulture) are much larger
- The savings by nutrient substitution are rather marginal
- The benefits brought by <u>physical effects</u> on the soil (water retention, less erosion.....) are promising,
- A lot of research is still necessary to integrate these aspects correctly in LCAs
- Benefits of biological treatment, <u>typically much larger than what may</u> be accounted for.

AND:

Organics a big part of MSW

optimising management of organics with ready-to-implement strategies a key driver for improvement

Enzo Favoino, Scuola Agraria del Parco di Monza, Chair ISWA WG on Biological Treatment

